Does the content of the following YouTube accurately represent the philosophy of Friedrich Nietzsche?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sOVt8Z66xu8

Now I'll compile my comprehensive analysis of the video's accuracy.

Does the YouTube Video Accurately Represent Nietzsche's Philosophy?

Executive Summary

The YouTube video "How Stupid People Killed God" presents a **partially accurate but significantly oversimplified and tonally distorted** interpretation of Friedrich Nietzsche's philosophy. While the video captures several legitimate Nietzschean themes—particularly concerning institutional corruption of religion, transactional spirituality, and the domestication of transcendence—it fundamentally misrepresents Nietzsche's philosophical method, tone, and analytical sophistication. The video's populist framing of "stupid people" committing "murder" transforms Nietzsche's complex genealogical critique into a moralistic condemnation, ironically adopting the very judgmental framework Nietzsche sought to transcend.

Accuracy Assessment: 55-65%

The video gets important concepts directionally correct but fails to represent Nietzsche's philosophical rigor, historical methodology, and crucial distinctions. It is entertainment masquerading as philosophy—accessible but misleading for those seeking genuine understanding of Nietzsche's thought.

What the Video Gets Right

1. The Core Meaning of "God is Dead"

Accurate: The video correctly presents "God is dead" as mourning rather than celebration, and recognizes that Nietzsche diagnosed how humans corrupted the concept of God rather than simply rejecting theism.[1] [2] [3]

The video states: "He wasn't celebrating. He was mourning...They taken something transcendent and made it trivial."

This aligns with scholarly consensus that Nietzsche's declaration was a warning about nihilism and the collapse of Western values, not atheistic triumphalism. Nietzsche's madman in *The Gay Science* §125 announces God's death with horror, not joy, recognizing humanity has "killed" the divine through disbelief made inevitable by Enlightenment rationalism.[4] [3] [5] [6] [1]

2. The Critique of Transactional Religion

Substantially Accurate: The video's first method—"God as cosmic vending machine"—captures Nietzsche's critique of how Christianity reduced transcendence to utility.

The video describes prayer as "insert correct prayer formula, receive desired outcome," reducing God to "supernatural Amazon Prime."

While Nietzsche didn't use precisely these terms, his critique of Christianity as life-denying and his attack on the "Christian moral hypothesis" as a utilitarian framework aligns with this characterization. He condemned Christianity for making God serve human comfort rather than demanding transformation.[7] [8] [9] [10]

3. Institutional Corruption and Power

Accurate: The video's critique of institutional religion—worshiping buildings, hierarchies, and organizations over transcendent reality—reflects Nietzsche's actual position.

Nietzsche explicitly attacked the Church as a "city of decay" and criticized how institutional structures replaced genuine spiritual encounter. He distinguished between "original Christianity" (Jesus's practice) and the corrupt institutional Christianity that emerged under Paul and the Church fathers. The video's emphasis on institutions becoming objects of worship rather than pointers to transcendence echoes Nietzsche's critique in *The Antichrist* and *On the Genealogy of Morals*.[8] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]

4. God as Projection of Ego

Accurate: The video's claim that people make God validate their existing prejudices—"God always agrees with you about politics"—captures Nietzsche's critique of religious projection.

Nietzsche argued that humans create gods in their own image, projecting their values onto cosmic screens. The video's examples (slave owners' God endorsed slavery, abolitionists' God condemned it) illustrate precisely what Nietzsche diagnosed: morality masquerading as divine revelation when it's actually human will to power in theological costume.[2] [16] [17] [18]

5. Belief Without Transformation

Substantially Accurate: The video's eighth method—salvation through belief alone without personal transformation—reflects Nietzsche's critique of Pauline Christianity.

The video states: "Person claims deep faith. Lives exactly like atheist neighbor...Zero transformation."

Nietzsche distinguished Jesus's transformative practice from Paul's faith-based salvation, arguing that Christianity corrupted the former into the latter. He wrote: "there was only *one* Christian, and he died on the cross"—meaning Jesus lived a practice that Christianity betrayed by emphasizing belief over transformation. The video accurately captures this distinction, though it doesn't credit Nietzsche's nuanced separation of Jesus from Christianity.[15] [19] [8]

What the Video Gets Wrong or Distorts

1. The "Stupid People" Framing: A Fundamental Misrepresentation

Critically Inaccurate: The video's central framing—that "stupid people killed God"—fundamentally misrepresents Nietzsche's philosophical method and tone.

Nietzsche conducted *genealogical* analysis, not moralistic condemnation. His method in *On the Genealogy of Morality* traced historical-psychological forces that shaped moral systems, examining how ressentiment, priestly manipulation, and cultural evolution produced Christianity. He didn't blame "stupid people" but analyzed structural and psychological processes.[20] [21] [22] [23]

The term "stupid" appears nowhere in Nietzsche's diagnosis. He used terms like *décadence*, *ressentiment*, "slave morality," and "priestly caste"—analytical categories, not insults. By framing his philosophy as condemnation of stupidity, the video transforms sophisticated philosophical analysis into pop-culture moralizing, ironically adopting the judgmental framework Nietzsche rejected.[24] [16] [25]

As scholars note, Nietzsche's perspectivism meant he avoided claiming absolute truth; he offered interpretations, not verdicts. The video's moralistic tone contradicts this fundamental aspect of his philosophy.[26] [27]

2. Missing the Genealogical Method

Critically Inaccurate: The video presents Nietzsche's analysis as a list of moral failings rather than a historical-psychological genealogy.

Nietzsche's *genealogical method* traced how moral concepts evolved through power struggles, not through rational choice or stupidity. His analysis of how "slave morality" emerged from ressentiment—the creative hatred of the weak toward the powerful—shows Christianity arising from specific historical conditions (Jewish subjugation, Roman oppression), not from people being "stupid".[21] [28] [29] [23] [30] [20]

The video's "10 ways" format suggests individual choices rather than systemic historical processes. Nietzsche would diagnose the video itself as exemplifying slave morality—condemning others to elevate the speaker's moral position.

3. Oversimplification of Ressentiment and Slave Morality

Partially Inaccurate: While the video mentions "revenge" and "using God to win arguments," it misses the sophisticated psychological mechanism Nietzsche described.

Ressentiment is not mere resentment but a specific psychological state where those unable to directly avenge themselves against power create *reactive* value systems that redefine the powerful as "evil" and the weak as "good". This is creative, not stupid—it's a brilliant psychological strategy that allowed the weak to psychologically dominate the strong by making strength itself contemptible.[16] [17] [25] [28] [20] [21]

The video treats weaponizing scripture and validating prejudices as separate "methods," but for Nietzsche, these are manifestations of the same underlying will to power operating through ressentiment. Missing this unified analysis fragments his philosophy into disconnected criticisms.[31] [32]

4. The Jesus-Paul Distinction: Underemphasized

Significantly Underemphasized: The video barely distinguishes between Jesus and Christianity, conflating them throughout most of its runtime.

Nietzsche made a crucial distinction: Jesus represented an *anti-realist* practice of living without ressentiment, while Paul invented Christianity by corrupting Jesus's message into a religion of the crucified God and salvation through faith. Nietzsche saw Jesus as potentially admirable—living without reactive hatred—but condemned Pauline Christianity as the ultimate expression of slave morality.[11] [33] [19] [8] [15]

The video's failure to emphasize this distinction misrepresents Nietzsche's nuanced view. He wasn't simply anti-Christian; he was anti-*Christianity-as-it-became* while potentially respecting what Jesus represented.[33] [8]

5. Missing the Will to Power Framework

Critically Missing: The video never explains that all these "corruptions" are manifestations of will to power—Nietzsche's core concept.

For Nietzsche, *everything* is will to power: the drive to self-determination, overcoming, and actualization. Christianity isn't "wrong" or "stupid"—it's one expression of will to power, specifically the will to power of those who lacked physical strength and used psychological-spiritual weapons instead. The priestly class exercised will to power through moral frameworks that made their weaknesses appear as virtues.[34] [17] [32] [16] [21] [31]

By omitting this framework, the video makes Nietzsche appear to be condemning Christianity from some external moral standard, when actually he's analyzing it as one form of life struggling against another. This is a fundamental misunderstanding.

6. The Übermensch and Revaluation: Absent

Critically Missing: The video mentions at the end that "you're on your own to create meaning" but never explains Nietzsche's positive vision: the Übermensch and revaluation of values.

Nietzsche's philosophy wasn't primarily critical—it was constructive. The Übermensch represents someone who creates their own values after God's death, affirming life completely (amor fati). The revaluation of values means not returning to pre-Christian paganism but moving *forward* to create new value systems that affirm life rather than deny it.[35] [36] [37] [38] [39] [40]

The video's ending—"you're on your own"—makes Nietzsche sound like a nihilist, which he explicitly opposed. He fought *against* nihilism by providing a path forward through self-overcoming and value creation.[36] [38] [41] [42] [43] [44]

7. Prosperity Gospel Example: Anachronistic

Historically Inaccurate: The video uses "megachurch pastor" and "prosperity gospel" as examples, but these are modern phenomena Nietzsche never encountered.

While these examples illustrate transactional religion effectively for modern audiences, they risk misleading viewers about what Nietzsche actually critiqued. He analyzed 19th-century German Protestantism and Catholicism, not 21st-century American evangelicalism. Using contemporary examples without clarifying this anachronism conflates Nietzsche's historical critique with modern phenomena he couldn't have addressed.[45] [9]

That said, one could argue prosperity gospel exemplifies exactly what Nietzsche critiqued—but the video should acknowledge this is an application rather than his actual target.

8. Tone and Rhetorical Style: Fundamentally Different

Critically Misrepresented: The video adopts a bombastic, morally indignant tone entirely foreign to Nietzsche's actual writing.

Nietzsche wrote with irony, provocation, and multiple "voices"—his style was designed to challenge readers, not to deliver straightforward condemnations. He used aphorisms, parables, and deliberate ambiguity to force readers to think rather than simply absorb conclusions.[46] [47] [26]

The video's YouTube-optimized style ("This is how stupid people killed God. Let's begin.") treats Nietzsche as if he were a motivational speaker or polemicist. While Nietzsche could be polemical, his sophisticated rhetorical techniques are flattened into clickbait simplification.[48] [49] [50]

As scholars note, Nietzsche is "doomed to be misunderstood" partly because his aphoristic style and shifting perspectives resist reduction to simple claims. The video exemplifies this misunderstanding.[51] [41] [46]

Scholarly and Interpretive Context

Common Misinterpretations the Video Perpetuates

The video perpetuates several common misunderstandings that Nietzsche scholars have repeatedly corrected:

1. **Nietzsche as Nihilist**: The video's ending implies nihilism ("you're on your own"), but Nietzsche fought *against* nihilism throughout his career. His philosophy aimed to overcome nihilism through value creation, not embrace it.[41] [42]
2. **Nietzsche as Moralist**: By framing his critique as condemnation of "stupid people," the video makes Nietzsche appear to be judging from a moral high ground. But Nietzsche explicitly rejected moralistic judgment, instead analyzing perspectives as manifestations of different forms of life.[27] [26]
3. **Simplified Oppositional Thinking**: The video treats Nietzsche as simply anti-Christian, but his relationship to Christianity was complex. He distinguished different forms of Christianity, respected certain aspects (particularly in Jesus), and saw Christianity as historically necessary even while critiquing it.[18] [52] [53] [8]

Walter Kaufmann's Influence and Over-Sanitization

The video may reflect the influence of Walter Kaufmann, whose mid-20th-century interpretations "sanitized" Nietzsche for American liberal audiences. Kaufmann emphasized Nietzsche's rationalism and individualism while downplaying controversial elements. The video's focus on personal transformation and meaning-creation echoes Kaufmann's emphasis.[54] [55] [56] [57]

However, contemporary Nietzsche scholarship has moved beyond Kaufmann, recognizing more complexity, paradox, and political dimensions in Nietzsche's work. The video doesn't reflect these developments.[56] [54] [41]

Popular Culture Distortions

As multiple sources document, popular culture consistently misrepresents Nietzsche. Films, television, and now YouTube videos reduce his nuanced philosophy to sound bites that support nihilism, fascism, or crude individualism—all distortions Nietzsche scholars work to correct.[49] [58] [50] [42] [48] [41]

The video's title and thumbnail—designed for YouTube algorithms—exemplify how platform incentives shape (and distort) philosophical content. While accessibility is valuable, the video sacrifices accuracy for engagement.[59] [60]

Specific Factual Corrections

Video Claim	Accuracy Assessment	Correction
"God is dead" = mourning not celebration	✓ Accurate	Correct interpretation supported by scholarly consensus [1] [3] [6]
"Stupid people killed God"	✗ Inaccurate	Nietzsche used genealogical analysis, not moral condemnation; never blamed "stupidity" [29] [23] [30]
Transactional prayer as vending machine	✓ Substantially Accurate	Captures Nietzsche's critique of utilitarian Christianity [9] [10]
Weaponized sacred texts	✓ Partially Accurate	True but oversimplified; part of larger ressentiment dynamic [20] [21]
Institution worship over transcendence	✓ Accurate	Aligns with Nietzsche's critique of Church corruption [13] [14]
God validates prejudices	✓ Accurate	Reflects Nietzsche's view of religious projection [2] [18]
Mystery reduced to slogans	◐ Partially Accurate	Captures oversimplification but lacks Nietzsche's perspectivism framework [26]
Religion as social club	◐ Partially Accurate	True but minor theme; Nietzsche focused more on psychological dynamics [61]
Certainty kills mystery	◐ Partially Accurate	Aligned with perspectivism but video lacks philosophical depth [26] [27]
Belief without transformation	✓ Substantially Accurate	Reflects Jesus-Paul distinction Nietzsche emphasized [8] [15] [19]
God as personal assistant	◐ Partially Accurate	Illustrates trivialization but no specific Nietzsche text supports this exact claim
God excuses mediocrity	✓ Accurate	Aligns with Nietzsche's critique of ascetic ideal and life-denial [47] [62] [10]

Assessment of Individual Claims

Method 1: God as Vending Machine

Accuracy: 75% - Captures transactional critique but oversimplifies. Nietzsche's critique was more about life-denial than transactionalism per se.

Method 2: Weaponized Sacred Texts

Accuracy: 60% - True but fragmentary. Missing the unified ressentiment framework that explains *why* texts get weaponized.

Method 3: Institution Worship

Accuracy: 80% - Solid interpretation of Nietzsche's anti-institutional stance, though could better emphasize his critique of Luther's Reformation.[14]

Method 4: God Validates Prejudices

Accuracy: 85% - Excellent example of Nietzsche's critique of projection and human creation of gods.

Method 5: Mystery to Slogans

Accuracy: 50% - While oversimplification is a problem, Nietzsche's concern was more about false certainty and perspectival limitations than "slogans" per se.

Method 6: Social Club Religion

Accuracy: 55% - Minor theme in Nietzsche; video overemphasizes this relatively mundane critique.

Method 7: Certainty Kills Mystery

Accuracy: 65% - Aligns with perspectivism but lacks philosophical sophistication to explain why certainty is problematic.

Method 8: Belief Without Transformation

Accuracy: 85% - Strong reflection of Nietzsche's Jesus-Paul distinction and critique of faith over works.

Method 9: God Made Small

Accuracy: 60% - Captures trivialization theme but lacks textual support for specific "personal assistant" framing.

Method 10: God as Excuse for Mediocrity

Accuracy: 75% - Good representation of Nietzsche's critique of ascetic ideal, though could be more sophisticated about what "mediocrity" means in his framework.

What's Missing: Critical Omissions

1. **The Genealogical Method**: The video never explains that Nietzsche traces *historical development* of moral concepts, not individual moral failures.[29] [23] [30]
2. **Ressentiment as Creative Force**: Missing the sophisticated psychology of how the weak's creative hatred produced Christianity.[25] [20] [21]
3. **Master-Slave Morality Framework**: Never mentioned, yet essential to understanding Nietzsche's critique.[24] [16]

4. **Will to Power**: The foundational concept is absent entirely.[32] [34] [31]
5. **The Übermensch**: Nietzsche's positive vision is barely mentioned.[38] [40] [36]
6. **Amor Fati and Eternal Recurrence**: Key concepts for understanding how to live after God's death.[63] [64] [65] [66]
7. **Nietzsche's Anti-Anti-Semitism**: The video doesn't mention that Nietzsche explicitly opposed anti-Semitism, important context given his sister's Nazi appropriation.[42] [48] [54]
8. **Dionysus vs. the Crucified**: The fundamental symbolic opposition that structures Nietzsche's late thought is absent.[18] [15]
9. **Perspectivism**: The epistemological foundation for why Nietzsche critiques certainty and "truth" is never explained.[26] [27]
10. **The Ascetic Ideal**: While touched on in method 10, never fully developed as the comprehensive critique Nietzsche offered in Genealogy Essay III.[47] [62]

Conclusion: An Assessment for Your Context

Given your background in biblical studies, theological scholarship, and rigorous academic research, you will likely find this video **intellectually unsatisfying and methodologically problematic**, despite its entertainment value and accessibility.

Strengths: The video successfully introduces general audiences to several legitimate Nietzschean critiques—transactional religion, institutional corruption, projection of prejudices, and belief without transformation. For someone with zero Nietzsche background, it provides accessible entry points.

Fatal Flaws:

1. The "stupid people" framing fundamentally betrays Nietzsche's genealogical method
2. Missing core concepts (will to power, Übermensch, ressentiment, master-slave morality) makes it incomplete
3. Moralistic tone contradicts Nietzsche's perspectivism
4. Pop-culture format sacrifices philosophical rigor for engagement
5. Anachronistic examples blur historical context

For Someone With Your Expertise: You recognize sophisticated textual analysis, historical-critical methods, and the importance of primary sources. This video offers none of these. It's a popularization that trades accuracy for accessibility—a reasonable trade-off for entertainment, but misleading for education.

Recommendation: Use this video as an example of how philosophical ideas get distorted through popular media, not as a reliable guide to Nietzsche. For accurate understanding, consult primary texts (*The Gay Science*, *On the Genealogy of Morality*, *Beyond Good and Evil*, *The Antichrist*) and scholarly commentaries by figures like Walter Kaufmann (with caveats), Brian Leiter, Christoph Janaway, or Maudemarie Clark.[67] [55] [54] [46] [56]

Bottom Line: The video is approximately 55-65% accurate—capturing important themes while fundamentally misrepresenting Nietzsche's method, tone, and comprehensive vision. It's philosophy-flavored entertainment, not philosophical education. For someone seeking genuine understanding of Nietzsche's critique of Christianity and modernity, this video is an inadequate and potentially misleading introduction that will require significant correction through serious study of primary and scholarly sources.

⁂

1. https://www.thelivingphilosophy.com/p/god-is-dead
2. https://1000wordphilosophy.com/2018/02/13/nietzsche-and-the-death-of-god/
3. https://www.reddit.com/r/philosophy/comments/smmdsa/nietzsches_declaration_god_is_dead_is_often/
4. https://creation.com/en/articles/nietzsche-madman
5. https://www.thegospelcoalition.org/article/what-nietzsche-meant-when-he-said-god-is-dead/
6. https://philosophybreak.com/articles/god-is-dead-nietzsche-famous-statement-explained/
7. https://visionarypublication.com/JVP/article/view/44
8. https://www.1517.org/articles/nietzsches-christ
9. https://www.dbu.edu/mitchell/early-modern-resources/nietzsche2.html
10. http://www.handprint.com/SC/NIE/Antich.html
11. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Antichrist_(book)
12. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/On_the_Genealogy_of_Morality
13. https://www.azquotes.com/author/10823-Friedrich_Nietzsche/tag/corruption
14. http://nietzsche.holtof.com/reader/friedrich-nietzsche/the-gay-science/aphorism-358-quote_fd01dd308.html
15. http://divagacoesaovento.blogspot.com/2012/05/nietzsche-against-paul.html
16. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Master–slave_morality
17. https://churchlifejournal.nd.edu/articles/will-to-power-and-will-to-weakness/
18. https://www.orientation-philosophy.com/work/publications/english-articles-by-werner-stegmaier/nietzsches-theology-perspectives-for-god-faith-and-justice/
19. https://history.hanover.edu/hhr/hhr93_3.html
20. https://vacuouswastrel.wordpress.com/2014/06/20/reading-nietzsche-on-the-genealogy-of-morals-7/
21. https://www.thelivingphilosophy.com/p/ressentiment
22. https://philarchive.org/archive/LUOTIH
23. https://iep.utm.edu/nietzsches-ethics/
24. https://www.reddit.com/r/DebateReligion/comments/369sp5/nietzsches_analysis_of_christianity_as_a_slave/
25. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ressentiment
26. https://www.sparknotes.com/philosophy/beyondgood/section1/
27. https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/nietzsche-moral-political/
28. https://www.sparknotes.com/philosophy/genealogyofmorals/mini-essays/
29. https://genealogiesofmodernity.org/journal/2020/12/14/nietzsche-was-not-a-genealogist

30. https://journals.publishing.umich.edu/ergo/article/id/1121/
31. https://www.reddit.com/r/philosophy/comments/1bie1z/what_does_nietzsche_mean_by_the_will_to_power/
32. https://eternalisedofficial.com/2020/09/17/nietzsche-the-will-to-power/
33. https://billwalker.wordpress.com/2011/05/13/nietzsches-anti-christ-jesus-and-buddhism/
34. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Will_to_power
35. https://eriktorenberg.substack.com/p/nietzsche-on-slave-morality
36. https://philosophybreak.com/articles/ubermensch-explained-the-meaning-of-nietzsches-superman/
37. https://www.thelivingphilosophy.com/p/nietzsche-vs-jung-the-revaluation-of-all-values
38. https://eternalisedofficial.com/2021/08/14/nietzsche-the-ubermensch-overman/
39. https://epublications.marquette.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1415&context=dissertations_mu
40. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Übermensch
41. https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/1uzyze/what_are_the_most_common_misconceptions_about/
42. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ti5JGYiR4mo
43. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V3S35jR7ILQ
44. https://www.ristgruppen.com/l/what-nietzsche-can-teach-us-about-finding-meaning-in-suffering/
45. https://shop.catholic.com/blog/the-prosperity-gospel-and-the-megachurch-phenomenon/
46. https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/91on6g/trouble_understanding_beyond_good_and_evil/
47. https://www.artofmanliness.com/character/knowledge-of-men/nietzsches-66-best-aphorisms/
48. https://slate.com/human-interest/2016/10/nietzsche-philosophy-myths-and-distortions-in-pop-culture-video.html
49. https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1248&context=senior_theses
50. https://www.scribd.com/document/514398310/How-Hollywood-Gets-Nietzsche-Wrong-English-auto
51. https://www.facebook.com/groups/partiallyexaminedlife/posts/10156656012329660/
52. https://www.joeledmundanderson.com/friedrich-nietzsche-the-enlightenment-christianity-and-the-philosopher-of-the-hammer/
53. https://www.orientation-philosophy.com/work/publications/english-articles-by-werner-stegmaier/nietzsches-project-of-religion/
54. https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/2az2ii/walter_kaufmanns_interpretation_of_nietzsche/
55. https://lareviewofbooks.org/article/left-for-dead-on-the-philosophical-life-and-vocation-of-walter-kaufmann
56. https://scholarlypublishingcollective.org/psup/nietzsche-studies/article/43/1/68/247094/Translating-Nietzsche-The-Case-of-Kaufmann
57. https://scholarship.richmond.edu/context/masters-theses/article/1980/viewcontent/Schilling_David_2004.pdf
58. https://www.reddit.com/r/Nietzsche/comments/dvn3s8/why_is_nietzsche_synonymous_with_immoralism_in/
59. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ef3KkQN4m1g

60. https://www.reddit.com/r/Nietzsche/comments/1jqztsv/philosophy_tubes_smearjob_on_nietzsche/
61. https://www.atlassociety.org/post/nobility-civilization-and-breeding
62. https://www.jstor.org/stable/26225850
63. https://www.facebook.com/groups/stoicismdigest/posts/1477999249606743/
64. http://aestheticstoday.blogspot.com/2016/11/rose-pfeffer-on-nietzsche-on-eternal.html
65. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amor_fati
66. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=46uRjs-ahE8
67. https://scholar.umw.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1043&context=student_research
68. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sOVt8Z66xu8
69. https://www.age-of-the-sage.org/philosophy/friedrich_nietzsche_quotes.html
70. https://sapereaude.voices.wooster.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/170/2022/07/sapereaude.russell.pdf
71. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/God_is_dead
72. http://nietzsche.holtof.com/reader/friedrich-nietzsche/the-gay-science/aphorism-125-quote_e4828eb63.html
73. https://academic.oup.com/book/11870/chapter/161013485
74. https://www.reddit.com/r/philosophy/comments/1auqrx/what_does_nietzsches_god_is_deadquote_actually/
75. https://www.johnpiippo.com/2008/12/nietzsches-parable-of-madman.html
76. https://knowledge.uchicago.edu/record/4777/files/Messerschmidt_uchicago_0330D_16454.pdf
77. https://ndpr.nd.edu/reviews/nietzsches-psychology-of-ressentiment-revenge-and-justice-in-on-the-geneaology-of-morals/
78. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bb7Q8Wu1HNA
79. https://www.facebook.com/groups/Ethpolsocpsy/posts/3202411919916491/
80. https://vc.bridgew.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1314&context=honors_proj
81. https://academic.oup.com/jope/article/39/2/357/6864927
82. https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/social-sciences-and-humanities/ubermensch
83. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rWtsp6cgH2I
84. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HNYEw21Jzpc
85. https://www.reddit.com/r/Nietzsche/comments/dxya6t/the_revaluation_of_values_how_do_you_do_it/
86. https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/7xo1hq/nietszche_and_amor_fati_how_does_one_love_ones/
87. https://reformation21.org/the-shadow-of-the-antichrist-nietzsches-critique-of-christianity-php/
88. https://apologeticspress.org/a-christian-response-to-nietzsches-the-genealogy-of-morals-3476/
89. https://jarinjove.com/2021/11/02/nietzsche-christianity/
90. https://www.plough.com/en/topics/life/when-christians-follow-nietzsche
91. https://philarchive.org/archive/DEANRO
92. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Influence_and_reception_of_Friedrich_Nietzsche